1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 BRETT JOHNSON, Individually and on Behalf Case No. 07CV0482-BTM (NLS) of All Others Similarly Situated, 6 **CLASS ACTION** Plaintiff, 7 (PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION CONSOLIDATING v. 8 RELATED ACTIONS; EXTENDING WIRELESS FACILITIES, INC., ERIC M. DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND 9 TO COMPLAINT: DOCUMENT DEMARCO, SCOTT ANDERSON, BANDEL **PRESERVATION** CARANO, WILLIAM HOGLUND, SCOT 10 JARVIS, LAURA L. SIEGAL, CAROL A. CLAY, ANDREW LEITCH, MASOOD K. TAYEBI, DEANNA LUND, DAN STOKELY, 11 WILLIAM OWENS, DAVE GARRISON, 12 TERRY ASHWILL, DAVID LEE, THOMAS MUNRO AND MASSIH TAYEBI 13 Defendants. 14 LABORERS LOCAL 190 PENSION FUND, 15 Individually and on Behalf of All Others Case No. 07CV0616-BTM (NLS) Similarly Situated, 16 Plaintiff, 17 v. 18 WIRELESS FACILITIES, INC., et al. 19 Defendants. 20 BRUCE REAMER, Individually and on Behalf of 21 All Others Similarly Situated, Case No. 07CV0626-BTM (NLS) 22 Plaintiff, 23 v. 24 WIRELESS FACILITIES, INC., et al. 25 Defendants. 26 27 28

1 Pursuant to the Joint Motion Consolidating Related Actions; Extending Defendants' Time 2 to Respond to Complaint; Document Preservation, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, it is hereby 3 ordered that: 4 1. These actions and all subsequently-filed related actions, other than derivative 5 lawsuits, are hereby consolidated under Master File No. 07CV0482-BTM (NLS), which shall be 6 captioned In re Wireless Facilities, Inc. Securities Litigation II. 7 2. Defendants shall file a notice of consolidation in subsequently-filed related 8 actions that are not derivative lawsuits. Any party may challenge consolidation of subsequently-9 filed actions by filing an objection within ten days of the filing of the notice of consolidation. 10 3. Defendants need not respond to any of the complaints filed in this action prior to 11 the filing of the consolidated Complaint. 12 4. Once lead plaintiff has filed and served the consolidated Complaint, the 13 parties shall meet and confer in good faith in order to set a reasonable time for which Defendants 14 will have to respond to the Complaint. 15 5. Once the parties have agreed upon a reasonable time to respond to the Complaint, 16 the parties shall submit to the Court a stipulation and proposed order setting forth the agreed 17 upon response date as well as a briefing schedule for any motion Defendants may file directed at 18 the Complaint. 19 6. All parties hereto shall give notice to their clients of their obligations regarding 20 document preservation under the federal securities laws. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 Dated: May 21, 2007 Honorable Barry T. Moskowitz 24 United States District Judge 25 26 27

28